



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/539,491 | 06/17/2005 | Ralf Wolleschensky | ZEI-3278/500343.20298 | 2400 |
| 26418 | 7590 | 05/05/2009 | | |
| REED SMITH, LLP | | | | |
| ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT | | | | |
| 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR | | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| VALENTIN, RUAN D | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2877 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 05/05/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/539,491 | Applicant(s) WOLLESCHENSKY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JUAN D. VALENTIN | Art Unit 2877 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JUAN D. VALENTIN. (3) _____.

(2) Joseph Tralor. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04/22/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rogers et al. 5,734,470 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner inadvertently used the wrong patent number for the 102(b) rejection over Roger et al. The correct patent number is 5,734,470 to Rogers et al. Further, examiner inadvertently forgot to change the name Simon to the Rogers et al. patent for the 103 rejection. All claims rejected in this application are rejected under Rogers et al. (5,734,470 A1).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| |
|---|
| /Gregory J. Toatley, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2877 |
|---|